



The rediscovery of smallpox

Catherine Thèves, Philippe Biagini, Eric Crubézy

► To cite this version:

Catherine Thèves, Philippe Biagini, Eric Crubézy. The rediscovery of smallpox. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, 2014, 20 (3), pp.210-218. 10.1111/1469-0691.12536 . hal-00967567

HAL Id: hal-00967567

<https://hal.science/hal-00967567>

Submitted on 29 Mar 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Invitation to contribute to Clinical Microbiology and Infection Themed Issues

February 2014

The discovery of major pathogens

The re-discovery of smallpox

Catherine Thèves¹, Philippe Biagini², Eric Crubézy¹

1- Laboratoire AMIS, UMR 5288, Université Toulouse III/ CNRS/ Université de Strasbourg,
Toulouse, France

2- UMR CNRS 7268, Etablissement Français du Sang Alpes-Méditerranée et Aix-Marseille
Université, Marseille, France

Corresponding author:

crubezy.eric@free.fr

Key words:

Smallpox; Evolution; Paleomicrobiology; Ancient DNA; Yakut Population

Abstract

Smallpox is an infectious disease unique to humans, caused by a poxvirus. It is one of the most lethal of diseases; the virus variant *Variola major* has a mortality rate of 30%. People surviving this disease have lifelong consequences, but also assured immunity. Historically, smallpox was recognised early in human populations. This led to prevention attempts: variolation, quarantine and the isolation of infected subjects, until Jenner's discovery of the first steps of vaccination in the 18th century. After vaccination campaigns throughout the 19th and 20th centuries, the World Health Organization declared the eradication of smallpox in 1980. With the development of microscopy techniques, the structural characterisation of the virus began in the early 20th century. In 1990 the genomes of different smallpox viruses were determined; viruses could be classified in order to investigate their origin, diffusion and evolution. To study the evolution and possible re-emergence of this viral pathogen, however, researchers can only use viral genomes collected during the 20th century. Cases of smallpox in ancient periods are sometimes well-documented, so paleomicrobiology and more precisely, the study of ancient smallpox viral strains could be an exceptional opportunity. The analysis of poxvirus fragmented genomes could give new insights on the genetic evolution of the poxvirus. Recently, small fragments of the poxvirus genome were detected. With the genetic information obtained, a new phylogeny of smallpox virus was described. The interest of conducting studies on ancient strains is discussed, in order to explore the natural history of this disease.

Introduction

Smallpox (variola) is an acute infectious disease of viral origin (genus *Orthopoxvirus*), which has caused devastating epidemics. During the 20th century it was responsible for 300-500 million mortalities, and in the last millennia it is estimated to have been responsible for 10% of mortalities worldwide. Thus, it is highly probable that this disease has strongly selected human populations, but there is no current evidence to validate this hypothesis. Following a global pandemic in the 18th century, vaccination against smallpox began in the early 19th century and the last case was reported in Somalia in 1977. In 1980, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared that smallpox had been eradicated. It is not clear what role population selection and the general improvement of hygiene conditions played in this eradication process, but the extensive vaccination programme conducted by the WHO certainly accelerated the process (www.who.int/csr/disease/smallpox/en/). In the modern era, although populations worldwide have been affected by smallpox, it is the isolated non-occidental populations which have been impacted the most; some New World and Siberian populations have been decimated by the virus.

Today, the study of smallpox has various challenges: (i) Social and medical challenges. Many populations living in remote areas, where smallpox has become endemic only recently, will migrate to live in urban or sub-urban environments. Thus, minor cases of the disease could result in epidemics through the gradual reduction in the number of vaccinated people, and the major environmental changes that impact them. Moreover, humans are the only known reservoir of the virus, but the emergence of an animal reservoir or a very closely related animal virus that could adapt to humans is not excluded. Note, that current-day animal poxvirus strains can infect humans, but that virulence differs between strains [1].

Governments must therefore control live strains of the poxvirus (see below), remain informed on the hazards of the virus, its mutation potential during epidemics (which can speed up the epidemic and/or make it last longer), and the potential for competition between different strains. It is also necessary to identify these strains, and to distinguish between the natural re-emergence of the disease and its genetic manipulation in the laboratory. This is also of importance since smallpox could be developed for acts of bioterrorism; and (ii) It is also a biological challenge due to co-evolution between humans and the environment. What genes were selected by smallpox epidemics during human evolution? How has the virus evolved? In human population histories what were the relationships between the major human-killers (plague, tuberculosis) and smallpox?

Recently, we demonstrated a case of smallpox through molecular analysis; an autochthonous subject who died in Yakutia, Eastern Siberia, (Figure 1), between 1628-1640 during a significant tuberculosis epidemic [2]. From small fragments of degraded DNA we proposed a new phylogeny of the virus. This research gave new perspectives on the genetic study of smallpox; ancient smallpox samples are now considered safe to work on due to the degraded nature of their DNA. There is no doubt that the discovery of ancient smallpox cases and the sequencing of ancient strains will give further insights into the natural history of this disease.

Clinical symptoms and epidemiology

Humans are the only known hosts or reservoirs of smallpox. Smallpox is one of the most feared diseases in the world, since its mortality rate ranges from 1% for cases of *Variola minor*, to more than 97% for hemorrhagic smallpox cases in unvaccinated subjects. The incubation period of 8-14 days is followed by a virus-like invasion phase (high fever,

shivering, arthralgia), and then by a state phase with a maculopapular rash which produces blisters on the 3rd day and infected pustules on the 7th day. If the subject survives, there is a drying phase on the 10-12th day when the scabs fall off to leave permanent scars. The patient will then be immunized, but the duration of this immunization period is still debated [3]. With the hemorrhagic form the subject dies after the first 24 hours of the invasion phase following bleeding of the skin and/or mucous membranes. During the 19th century, less virulent smallpox epidemics were recorded with a death rate of 1% in South Africa and Central America, where the less virulent smallpox is known as alastrim [4]. The mode of disease transmission is usually direct contact: the virus is transmitted primarily by the exhalation of patients and then by contact with skin lesions. The virus is very stable and can survive for years in the scabs [5]. In natural conditions, however, the virus remains pathogenic for about a couple of weeks.

The earliest and most incontestable descriptions of smallpox appeared in the 4th century in China, and then later in India (7th century) and in Southwest Asia and the Mediterranean (10th century AD (Anno Domini) [4]. It was prevalent in southern Europe during the 13th century, when it starting to spread throughout Europe causing millions of deaths over the centuries [6, 7]. In the 18th century smallpox was endemic in Europe and significant peaks in the number of mortalities were observed: 14,000 deaths in 1716, 20,000 in 1723 and 14,000 in 1796 in Paris [9], killing 10% of newborns and one in three adult patients. It decimated Amerindian populations during the conquest of the New World from the beginning of the 16th century [4], and it was the succession of smallpox epidemics and other infectious diseases imported from the Old World that destroyed what remained of the Inca culture [8].

Due to its distinctive clinical symptoms, smallpox has been known as a disease ‘entity’ for many centuries. Campaigns against smallpox began in the absence of current scientific knowledge, while the causes and mechanisms of the disease were unknown. Various protection methods against smallpox have tried to be developed. The earliest methods, reported in the 10th century, were insufflation in China and cutaneous inoculation in India [4]. Variolation, to avoid serious smallpox, consists of an inoculation with ‘benign smallpox’ from the pustules of a patient. The origin of this technique is disputed, but it is known to have been practiced in China in the 16th century. It was imported into the West in the early 18th century by Lady Wortley Montagu, the wife of the Ambassador of Great Britain to Turkey [10], but it is likely that different techniques had existed previously in the European countryside. In Scotland, farmers attached wool soaked in smallpox pus around their wrists, and in some Italian mountains children were made to wear similarly soaked shirts [11, 12]. Results were, however, very random and many subjects still died despite these attempts of variolation. The practice was introduced into France in second half of 17th century, where it was extensively studied and fiercely debated (due to the dangers of inoculation), with written submissions to the Academy of Sciences [4]. Before 1760, European doctors replaced the needle (used in Turkey) with the lancet, which allowed doctors to make a deeper cut [13], but these incisions were either ineffective or catastrophic. After 1760, Sutton proposed a method involving a more superficial incision, increasing the reliability of the inoculation and hence its popularity [12].

From 1769, at least six people in England and Germany successfully tested the possibility of using the cowpox vaccine against smallpox in humans [4]. At the end of the 18th century, Edward Jenner, a country doctor in England with many farmer patients, noted that contact with livestock, particularly cows, exposed farmers to a disease transmissible to humans;

cowpox or vaccinia, the smallpox of cows. From the observation that milkmaids who had contracted cowpox did not usually contract smallpox, Jenner postulated that the pus present in the cowpox vesicles protected people against smallpox. Today we know that the cowpox vaccine is a benign disease for humans, in comparison with smallpox, but at the time it seemed that a convergence of ideas led to replacing the pus of smallpox with that of cowpox. In 1796, Jenner developed the first stages of the vaccination process: he inoculated a child with the pus from a farm worker's hand who was infected with cowpox (via contact with the infected cow's udders), causing a localized infection at the inoculation site. Three months later, he inoculated the child with smallpox; the child showed no signs of infection and so was immunized against the virus [4]. Over a period of twenty years, Jenner conducted systematic experiments which led to the establishment of the vaccination method, thus replacing inoculation. It should be noted, however, that at the same time as variolation and vaccination, procedures were taking place in Europe, the quarantine and isolation of affected individuals, which also reduced the spread of the disease [14, 15].

In the early 19th century, vaccination against smallpox spread rapidly throughout the occidental world [16], and was largely responsible for the observed change in population demography. Before vaccination world demography is termed pre-Jenner; when considering several generations, for 1000 births, one-third of subjects died between birth and the age of one and one-third between 1 and 18 years old. After vaccination world demography is termed post-Jenner; an increase in the number of surviving subjects between birth and 18 years old is observed. If birth rates remain constant there is a population explosion, and this is what occurred in Europe in the 19th century due to vaccination. The world population increased to about 1 billion in 1800, and to more than one and a half billion in 1900 [17]. The first vaccination campaigns carried out in the first half of the 20th century, and the WHO screening

programme conducted from 1967 onwards were highly successful, and smallpox was declared eradicated in 1980 (the last reported case was in 1977 [4]).

Initial isolation and characterization of the smallpox viruses

Recognition of the disease and measures for its prevention were initiated early in human history (16th century in China), but investigations into the virus itself did not begin until the late 19th century with the establishment of the new science of virology. Virus particles of smallpox, cowpox and vaccinia were firstly identified under the microscope, then by electron microscopy (before any other viruses had been visualised), and their chemical compositions were analysed before those of animal viruses [4]. Most studies and knowledge on orthopoxviruses were based on the vaccinia virus.

The first reports on the viral elements of vaccinia using microscopy were in 1886 by Buist [18] and Calmette-Guérin in 1901 [19], who observed many elementary particles in the stained smears and vaccine lymph of rabbit. Prowazek in 1905 and Paschen in 1906 [4] also showed these ‘elementary bodies’ by using different microscopy methods. It was, however, the work of Ledingham in 1931 [20] that demonstrated definitively that the elementary bodies were indeed the infectious entity in the lymph. Thereafter, the analysis of the pox virion structure was dependent on the processing powers of the electron microscopy. Between 1948 and 1970, thin sections of infected cells characterized the membrane structures, the core and the components of the vaccinia virus [4].

The detection of nucleic acids (DNA) present in the virus, but not ribonucleic acids (RNA) was demonstrated in 1940 [21, 22]. In 1962, the double-stranded nature of the virus’ DNA and its guanine and cytosine composition was demonstrated [23]. The first genomic

sequences of the smallpox virus were published in the early 1990s, with the complete DNA sequence of the vaccinia virus [24, 25]. Thereafter, a significant effort was made to sequence most of the isolates which had been collected during the WHO Intensified Smallpox Eradication Programme (1967-1980). Currently, about 50 human genomes, as well as dozens of animal poxviruses, are available on the web (<http://www.poxvirus.org>). Thus, new insights on the origin, distribution and evolution of different human clades have been made [26, 27].

The virus and its evolution

Viruses of the genus *Orthopoxviridae* are widespread in vertebrates and most of them are species specific [28, 29]. The best studied *Orthopoxviridae* are the poxviruses (*Poxviridae*), DNA viruses that replicate in the cell cytoplasm [30]. These include smallpox viruses (*Variola major* and *Variola minor*), the primate viruses (highly pathogenic to humans), and the bovine poxvirus (used as a vaccine against the three aforementioned viruses). The genome of an orthopoxvirus is a linear double-stranded DNA molecule containing approximately 200 genes. The central region of the genome has highly conserved sequences and contains the majority of genes involved in transcription, mRNA biosynthesis, DNA repair and replication, and the modification of viral structural proteins [29, 30]. The terminal regions are variable and determine the specific properties of the species, the strain of the virus, and its immunomodulatory protein genes [31-33]. The virulence of smallpox is related to a set of genes that produce proteins that increase (compared with other viruses) the efficiency of the multiple defence mechanisms of the host organisms [34].

Following the eradication of smallpox in 1980, two conservatories holding the live viral strains were created: CDC in Atlanta, USA, and VECTOR in Novosibirsk, the Russian Federation. Although the purpose of these conservatories is regularly discussed [35], their

goal is to store live strains in order to establish medical and vaccination strategies in the event of re-emergence or bioterrorism. Currently, they store live smallpox strains which were collected between 1944 and 1977 [26, 36]. Their genomes have been completely sequenced and are open access (NCBI GenBank). These strains follow the global phylogeographic distribution; the first cluster groups *Variola major* strains from Asia, India and Africa, excluding the West, and the second cluster groups the two sub-clusters of West Africa and in South America.

Several studies on the strains are being conducted by the WHO and authorized laboratories: tests for the diagnosis of smallpox in the laboratory, smallpox genomic studies, the establishment of animal models and of pathogenesis, and the development of antivirals for the treatment of smallpox [37]. Independent advisory experts consequently assess all smallpox research programmes [38]. With technological advances in high-throughput sequencing and the generation of whole genomes for modern strain, the origin and variability in virulence factors for these poxviruses are well-studied by phylogeny [26, 36]. The evolution of different smallpox viruses are studied using data on sequence diversity, genome structure and variation in coding regions [27]. The evolution and phylogeny of poxviruses specific to vertebrates are also studied for a better understanding of the hypotheses related to the dispersion and the date of the origin of these strains [39, 40].

The published phylogenetic tree found a root/tMRCA calculation for the sequences of 120 AD (maximum) (Figure 2 in [2]; mean estimate of 928 AD for the tMRCA of all smallpox sequences; 5%-95% confidence range = 120 AD – 1714 AD; median = 1585 AD). This date is consistent with that reported in early documents on smallpox (before 1000 AD [4]), but it is certainly very recent considering when the disease first appeared (between 1500-1100 BC in

China and India [4]). Indeed, apart from the traditional descriptions of the disease, interpretations of certain texts and/or archaeological discoveries suggest a much earlier existence of the disease, especially in its cutaneous form. Observations of typical rashes have been identified in Egyptian mummies dating from 1100-1580 BC [4, 41, 42], and the disease could have struck China in 1122 BC and India in 1500 BC [4, 42]. The divergence between current phylogenies and archaeological evidence is related to the fact that current strains only represent a small part of smallpox genetic variability in the 20th century. This has several implications: (i) as more strains are discovered variability increases, so it probable that the most recent common ancestor is older than present estimations; and (ii) a large number of strains have disappeared during human history, or they are not detected today as they are no longer virulent. Strains affecting European and American human populations between the 13th and 18th centuries have been eradicated since the 19th century following the first vaccination campaigns [7].

Paleogenetic studies

Paleomicrobiology is a field of research based on the detection of infectious diseases in the past [43]. Fragments of bacterial or viral DNA are searched for in possibly infected tissues, or in the teeth of subjects, who died during a bacteremia or viremia, taking into account the specific characteristics of the ancient DNA sample (Figure 3). Regarding smallpox, our work in Central Yakutia (Eastern Siberia) in 2004 led to the discovery of an elite burial (wealthy and organized) dating from between 1730 and 1740, with five remarkably well-preserved subjects (Figure 4). The anatomical study revealed no trauma, and the burial of subjects at the same time during winter suggested that they had died during a disease epidemic [44]. The search for pathogens was initially focused on bacterial pathogens, but since none were found

a viral origin was hypothesized. This was reinforced by the presence of iron in the lung alveoli of the subject, suggesting death due to a haemorrhage. Smallpox was not the first virus to be tested for, because none of the four subjects, for whose skin could be examined, showed any traces of blisters or pustules. Only in the best preserved subject were we able to identify three DNA fragments of the ancient human poxvirus by PCR [2]. To assess the persistence of large DNA fragments, we conducted long range PCRs on the E9I gene (encoding for polymerase) following [39]. The amplifications expected were about 1000 and 2043 bp: no positive results were obtained, suggesting a fragmented viral genome [2]. The absence of pustules, the presence of a pulmonary hemorrhage and the detection of the virus could indicate that the subject presented hemorrhagic smallpox. It would be interesting to look for the presence of smallpox in the other subjects in the grave (Figure 4). The first phylogenetic study conducted on these fragments showed, as expected (see above), that this ancient strain is distinct from the modern strains described previously (Figure 2).

This study was the first of its kind – it opens up interesting avenues for phylogenetic and natural history approaches to studying smallpox. The virus is considered resistant to environmental conditions, but the subject was buried in Central Yakutia where temperatures can drop below 50°C in winter (see conservation of burials and bodies in Figure 5), and a burial of two and a half centuries has destroyed the virus, fragmenting and degrading its DNA. It is hoped that research on archaeological samples will be facilitated in the future. According to current legislation and risk assessments, the theoretically level of containment required in a laboratory, due to the classification of a parental strain, does not necessarily need to be implemented. Smallpox and archaeological samples which are significantly degraded are not pathogenic. Thus, we can assume that a biosafety level of two would be required in a laboratory, corresponding to the minimum level required by the regulations for handling of samples of human origin (e.g., teeth). The scientific community can then use the ‘smallpox

model' to assess the co-evolution of virulence and resistance genes (if they exist in humans) in subjects buried before, during and after an epidemic. The future of smallpox natural history remains to be written; it will not be dangerous, so the interest in keeping live strains of the virus is brought into question again.

Acknowledgments:

The French archaeological Mission in Oriental Siberia (Ministère des Affaires Etrangères et Européennes, France), the North-Eastern Federal University (Yakutsk, Sakha Republic), the HUMAD programme in IPEV (Institut Polaire français Paul Emile Victor) funded the study. The project's administration and research were permitted through the programme of the France-Russia Associated International Laboratory (LIA COSIE number 1029), associating the North-Eastern Federal University (Yakutsk, Sakha Republic), the State Medical University of Krasnoyarsk, the Russia Foundation for the Fundamental Research (Moscow, Russia), the University of Paul Sabatier Toulouse III (France), the University of Strasbourg I (France) and the National Centre of Scientific Research (Paris, France).

Figure 1:




Figure 2:




Figure 3:




Figure 4:




Figure 5:



Legends of figures

Figure 1: Location of Yakutia in Siberia. Map of the three initial regions occupied by ancient Yakut populations excavated during MAFSO archaeological campaigns. Star shows the location of the shamanic tree grave © Patrice Gérard CNRS.

Figure 2: Phylogenetic analysis of concatenated sequences of an ancient Siberian smallpox virus (PoxSib). These three gene segments showed that the 300 year old virus did not belong to the cluster of known sequenced strains of the 20th century (1946-1977). Derived from Figure 1b in [2]. Reprinted with permission.

Figure 3: Composition of environmental, undetermined and potential pathogenic 16S rDNA sequences in ancient skeletons and frozen bodies. The majority of the bacterial pool was environmental bacteria originating from the soil, vegetation or permafrost characteristic of the Arctic. Derived from Figure 2 in [45]. Reprinted with permission. Paleogenetic studies conducted over more than 10 years in our laboratory have clearly demonstrated the persistence of fragmented DNA molecules [45, 47]. It is important to note that such archaeological samples consist of many DNA molecules, not only originating from the subject (human nuclear and mitochondrial DNA) and the pathogen potentially responsible for the death of the subject (bacterial or viral DNA), but also environmental bacteria from this complex environment: soil, water, plants. Metagenomic or bacterial genome analyzes show that the majority of bacteria in this kind of sample are environmental [45].

Figure 4: Evocation of the shamanic tree grave © Nicolas Senegas; watercolour of different masks and capes of studied woman © Christiane Petit-Hochstrassesr. The tomb is large and well-organized, which shows that the population and the related subjects had not all been eradicated. It is interesting to note that the golden age in Yakutia ends after 1728/1740 [44,

46]. Originally we discussed a major economic change [44], it would be interesting to determine how a hemorrhagic smallpox epidemic could have participated in this decline.

Figure 5: The frozen graves of Yakutia: an exceptional conservation. From left to right: Shamanic tree (2004); Kouranakh (2011); Ordiogone 2 (2007) © Patrice Gérard CNRS; Eletcheï 1 (2009) © Nicolas Senegas. The main ethnic group from this region, the Yakuts, used inhumation as a common funerary practice ever since they discovered the region in the Middle Ages [44]. This is unique to Yakuts in Siberia and the Arctic, and provides a unique opportunity to access a continuous temporal sampling from the Middle Ages onwards.

References

- 1 Baxby D. Poxviruses. Chap. 69. In Baron S, editor. Medical Microbiology. 4th edition. Galveston (TX): University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston, 1996.
- 2 Biagini P, Thèves C, Balaesque P, *et al.* Variola virus in a 300-year-old Siberian mummy. *N Engl J Med.* 2012; **367**:2056-2058.
- 3 Hammarlund E, Lewis M.W, Hanifin J.M, Mori M, Koudelka CW, Slifka M.K. Antiviral Immunity following Smallpox Virus Infection: a Case-Control Study. *Journal of Virology.* 2010, **84** (24): 12754–12760.
- 4 Fenner, F., D. A. Henderson, I. Arita, Z. Jezek, and I. D. Ladnyi. Smallpox and its eradication. p. 1469. Ed Frank Fenner. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 1988.
- 5 Sinclair R, Boone SA, Greenberg D, *et al.* Persistence of category A select agents in the environment. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2008; **74**(3):555-563.
- 6 Albou P. La variole avant Jenner (XVIIe-XVIIIe siècles). Histoire des Sciences médicales. Tome XXIX – (3) : 227-235, 1995.
- 7 Geddes AM. The history of smallpox. *Clin Dermatol.* 2006; 24(3):152-157.
- 8 Mann C.C. 1491 New revelations of Americas before Columbus. Ed Albin Michel. Paris, 2007.
- 9 Sartori E. Napoléon mène l’assaut contre la variole. Histoire de science. La Recherche. 2011 ; 458 : 92.
- 10 Berche P. L’histoire des microbes. 307 p. John Libbey Eurotext, Paris, 2007. p206.
- 11 Miller G. The adoption of inoculation for smallpox in England and France. Philadelphia, University of Pennsylvania Press. 1957.
- 12 Razzell P. The conquest of smallpox. Firlie, Caliban. 1977.

- 13 Dreyer N et Gabriel JP. Daniel Bernouilli et la variole. Bulletin de la Société des Enseignants Neuchâtelois de Sciences. Mathématiques. n° 39. 2010.
- 14 Haygarth, J. Sketch of a plan to exterminate the casual small-pox from Great Britain, London, Johnson. 1793.
- 15 Carl A. Darstellung des dritten Jahrgangs der zu Brunn gestifteten Blatternimpfanstalt, Brno.1799.
- 16 Guérin J. Rapport sur la vaccination générale de l'arrondissement d'Orange. Avignon. Ed. Bonnet, 1810.
- 17 Crubézy E, Braga J, Larrouy G. Anthropobiologie. Évolution humaine. 2nd edition. Eds Elsevier / Masson. Collection: Abrégés, 2008.
- 18 Gordon M. Virus bodies. John Buist and the elementary bodies of vaccinia. *Edinburgh medical Journal*. 1937; **44**: 65-71.
- 19 Calmette A and Guérin C. Recherches sur la vaccine expérimentale. *Annales de l'Institut Pasteur*. 1901; **15** :161-168.
- 20 Ledingham JCG. The aetiological importance of the elementary bodies in vaccinia and fowl-pox. *Lancet*. 1931; **2**:525-526.
- 21 Hoagland CL, Lavin GI, Smadel JE, Tivers TM. Constituents of the elementary bodies of vaccinia. II. Properties of nucleic acid obtained from vaccine virus. *Journal of experimental Medicine*. 1940; 72: 139-147.
- 22 Smadel JE and Hoagland CL. Elementary bodies of vaccinia. *Bacteriological reviews*. 1942; **6**: 79-110.
- 23 Joklik WK. Some properties of poxvirus deoxyribonucleic acid. *Journal of molecular biology*. 1962; **5**: 265-274.
- 24 Goebel SJ, Johnson GP, Perkus ME, Davis SW, Winslow JP, Paoletti E. The complete DNA sequence of vaccinia virus. *Virology*. 1990; **179**(1): 247-266.

- 25 Shchelkunov SN, Resenchuk SM, Totmenin AV, Blinov VM, Marennikova SS, Sandakhchiev LS. Comparison of the genetic maps of variola and vaccinia viruses. *FEBS Lett.* **1993**; 237(3): 321-324.
- 26 Li Y, Carroll DS, Gardner SN, et al. On the origin of smallpox: correlating variola phylogenics with historical smallpox records. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2007; **104**(40):15787-92.
- 27 Esposito JJ, Sammons SA, Frace AM, et al. Genome sequence diversity and clues to the evolution of variola (smallpox) virus. *Science* 2006; **11**;313(5788):807-812.
- 28 Moss B. Poxviridae: The viruses and their replication. in Knipe D.M, Howley D.M. (Eds) *Fields Virology*. 5th ed. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia. pp 2905- 2946, 2007.
- 29 Shchelkunov S.N, Marrenikova S.S, Moyer R.W. Orthopoxviruses pathogenic for humans. Eds Springer-Verlag. Berlin, 2005.
- 30 Moss B. in Fields B.N, Knipe R.M, Chanock R.M, Melnick J, Roizman B, Shope R (Eds) in *Fields Virology*, Raven Press, Philadelphia. pp. 2637-2671, 1996.
- 31 Gubser C, Hue S, Kellam P, et al. Poxvirus genomes: a phylogenetic analysis. *J. Gen. Virol.* 2004; **85**: 105-117.
- 32 Lefkowitz E.J, Wang C, Upton C. Poxviruses: past, present and future. *Virus Res.* 2006; **117**: 105-118.
- 33 Upton C, Slack S, Hunter A.L, et al. Poxvirus orthologous clusters: toward defining the minimum essential poxvirus genome. *J. Virol.* 2003; **77**:7590-7600.
- 34 Shchelkunov SN. Orthopoxvirus genes that mediate disease virulence and host tropism. *Adv Virol.* 2012; **2012**:524-743.
- 35 Manuguerra J-C. Le grand débat. *La recherche.* 2011 ; **455** : 92.
- 36 Shchelkunov SN. How long ago did smallpox virus emerge? *Arch Virol.* 2009; **154**(12):1865-1871.

- 37 Scientific Review of Variola Virus Research. 2010. WHO/HSE/GAR/BDP/2010.3
- 38 Advisory Group of Independent Experts to review the smallpox research programme (AGIES). 2010. Comments on the Scientific Review of Variola Virus Research, WHO/HSE/GAR/BDP/2010.4
- 39 Babkin IV, Babkina IN. Molecular dating in the evolution of vertebrate poxviruses. *Intervirology*. 2011; **54**(5):253-260.
- 40 Babkin IV, Babkina IN. A retrospective study of the orthopoxvirus molecular evolution. *Infect Genet Evol*. 2012; **12**(8):1597-1604.
- 41 Dixon CW. in Smallpox. Churchill, London, 1962.
- 42 Hopkins DR in The Greatest Killer – Smallpox in History. Edn Univ of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1983.
- 43 Drancourt M, Raoult D. Palaeomicrobiology: current issues and perspectives. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2005; **3**:23-35.
- 44 Crubézy E, and Alexeev A. eds Chamane: Kyys, jeune fille des glaces. Edn Paris: Errance, 2007
- 45 Thèves C, Senescau A, Vanin S, *et al*. Molecular identification of bacteria by total sequence screening: determining the cause of death in ancient human subjects. *PLoS One* 2011; **6**(7): e21733.
- 46 Crubézy E, Alexeev A. eds. The world of ancient yakoutia (in Russian) edn Yakuts: Yakuts University, 2012.
- 47 Crubézy E, Amory S, Keyser C, *et al*. Human evolution in Siberia: from frozen bodies to ancient DNA. *BMC Evol Biol*. 2010; **10**: 25.